# KPIs for Australian Public Mental Health Services: PI 07J – Average cost per community treatment day, 2016



#### © Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2024

This product, excluding the AIHW logo, Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any material owned by a third party or protected by a trademark, has been released under a Creative Commons BY 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) licence. Excluded material owned by third parties may include, for example, design and layout, images obtained under licence from third parties and signatures. We have made all reasonable efforts to identify and label material owned by third parties.

You may distribute, remix and build on this website's material but must attribute the AlHW as the copyright holder, in line with our attribution policy. The full terms and conditions of this licence are available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Enquiries relating to copyright should be addressed to info@aihw.gov.au.

Enquiries or comments on the METEOR metadata or download should be directed to the METEOR team at meteor@aihw.gov.au.

## KPIs for Australian Public Mental Health Services: PI 07J – Average cost per community treatment day, 2016

## Identifying and definitional attributes

Metadata item type: Indicator Indicator type: Indicator

**Short name:** MHS PI 07J: Average cost per community treatment day, 2016

METEOR identifier: 630380

**Registration status:** <u>Health!</u>, Superseded 14/06/2017

**Description:** Average cost per community treatment day provided by state/territory <u>specialised</u>

community (also known as ambulatory) mental health care service unit(s).

**Note:** This specification has been adapted from the indicator MHS P107: Average cost per community treatment day, 2015 (Service level version) using terminology consistent with the National Health Data Dictionary. There are technical differences in the calculation methodologies between the Service level version and the Jurisdictional level version of this indicator due to different exclusions in the

methodology is followed.

Rationale: Efficient functioning of public community mental health services is critical to ensure

that finite funds are used effectively to deliver maximum community benefit.

methodology of this indicator. Caution should be taken to ensure the correct

Unit costs are a core feature of management-level indicators in all industries and are necessary to understand how well an organisation uses its resources in producing services. They can be fundamental to value for money judgments.

Previous estimates of unit costs in community care have been compromised by inadequate product definition. Most commonly, estimates have been based on average cost per occasion of service, and provide little indication of the overall

costs of care.

Nationally agreed definition of treatment episodes in the community have not yet been developed. In the meantime, community treatment day is used as valid intermediate product for comparing efficiency, noting other options are available.

Indicator set: Key Performance Indicators for Australian Public Mental Health Services

(Jurisdictional level version) (2016) Health!, Superseded 14/06/2017

## Collection and usage attributes

#### **Computation description:** Coverage/Scope:

State/territory specialised community mental health care service unit(s) in scope for reporting as defined by the Mental Health Establishments National Minimum Data Set (NMDS) (expenditure data).

State/territory specialised community mental health care service unit(s) in scope for reporting as defined by the Community Mental Health Care National Minimum Data Set (treatment days data).

The following expenditure of community mental health care service units are excluded:

• A share of expenditure associated with delivery of care to non-uniquely identified consumers.

The following activity of community mental health care services are excluded:

• All activity associated with non-uniquely identified consumers.

#### Methodology:

- Reference period for 2016 performance reporting: 2013–14
- Recurrent costs include costs directly attributable to the community mental
  health care treatment day plus a proportional share of overhead costs. Cost
  data for this indicator is based on gross recurrent expenditure as compiled by
  state/territory data providers according to the specifications of the Mental
  Health Establishments NMDS. As such, it is subject to the concepts,
  definitions and costing methodology developed for the NMDS.
- Expenditure is adjusted for the proportion of non-uniquely identifiable people.

Computation: Numerator ÷ Denominator

**Numerator:**Total state/territory recurrent expenditure on ambulatory mental health care services

within the reference period.

Numerator data elements:

#### Data Element / Data Set-

Total apportioned expenditure at Ambulatory service unit level (adjusted)

#### NMDS/DSS

(derived from) Mental Health Establishments NMDS 2013–14

**Denominator:** 

Total number of community mental health care treatment days provided by state/territory ambulatory mental health care services within the reference period.

Denominator data elements:

#### -Data Element / Data Set-

Specialised mental health service—number of community mental health care treatment days

#### NMDS/DSS

(derived from) Community Mental Health Care NMDS 2013-14

#### Data Element / Data Set-

Person—unique identifier used indicator, yes/no code N

## NMDS / DSS

Community mental health care NMDS 2013-14

**Disaggregation:** Service variables: Nil

Consumer attributes: Nil

## Representational attributes

Representation class: Mean (average)

Data type: Monetary amount

Unit of measure: Currency

## Indicator conceptual framework

Framework and

dimensions:

**Efficient** 

## **Accountability attributes**

Benchmark: State/territory level

Further data development /

collection required:

This indicator can be accurately constructed using the Mental Health Establishments NMDS and the Community Mental Health Care NMDS.

Other issues caveats: Contact duration data is needed for a more sophisticated cost modelling

methodology.

Casemix adjustment is needed to interpret variation between organisations to

distinguish consumer and provider factors.

Further development of national funding models, including episode-based or

casemix models will enable more meaningful measurement.

There is a need for considerable development of costing within mental health (for example, the inclusion/exclusion of teaching and research expenditure, costing

according to actual service use, etc.).

Accurate reporting at levels above that of mental health service organisation requires unique state-wide patient identifiers which are not currently available in all

jurisdictions.

## Source and reference attributes

Submitting organisation: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare on behalf of the National Mental Health

Performance Subcommittee

Reference documents: National Mental Health Performance Subcommittee 2013. Key Performance

Indicators for Australian Public Mental Health Services 3rd edn. Canberra.

NMHPC.

### Relational attributes

Related metadata references:

Has been superseded by KPIs for Australian Public Mental Health Services: PI 07J

Average cost per community treatment day, 2017

Health!, Standard 14/06/2017